CITY OF ALBUQUERQU

CIVILIAN POLICE OVERSIGHT AGENCY BOARD

Tara Armijo-Prewitt

Dr. William J. Kass. Chair Eric Olivas. Vice Chair Chantal M. Galloway

Eric Nixon

Cathryn Starr

Edward Harness, Executive Director

Douglas Mitchell

October 6, 2020

Harold Medina, Interim Chief of Police C/O Internal Affairs Unit Albuquerque Police Department 400 Roma NW Albuquerque, NM 87102

RE: APD Case # 18-0122233, IAFD Case # C2018-000100

Dear Interim Chief Medina:

My review of this case included:

- Responding to the incident and being briefed on December 23, 2018
- Computer Aided Dispatch Reports
- APD Field Services Reports
- **Criminalistics Reports**
 - o Crime scene photos
- Internal Affairs Reports
 - o Officer Interviews
- Internal Affairs Force Division Reports
 - Command Review
- Multi Agency Task Force Reports
 - o Office of Medical Investigators Report
 - o Witness statements
- On Body Recording Device Videos
- APD Policy 2-52 Use of Force
- Attending the Force Review Board Briefing March 26, 2020

My review of the evidence shows on December 23, 2018 the victim (Mr. P) was operating a White Hyundai. The license plate of the vehicle was listed as a stolen vehicle. Officer #1 initiated a felony traffic stop.

During the felony traffic stop Mr. P did not cooperate with the commands of the officers on scene. Mr. P discharged a weapon from inside the vehicle. In response Officer #5 fired two (2) 40mm in an attempt to break out windows of the vehicle.

PO Box 1293

Albuquerque

NM 87103

www.cabq.gov

Mr. P discharged his weapon a second time causing the rear window to shatter. Officers 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 discharged their service weapons at Mr. P. Mr. P exited the vehicle and collapsed. Officers attempted life saving measures, but Mr. P died at the scene.

Finding: The CPOA finds Officer 1's conduct "**Exonerated**," regarding the allegation of a violation of this SOP, which means the investigation determined, by preponderance of the evidence that the alleged conduct did occur but did not violate APD policies, procedures, or training.

Finding: The CPOA finds Officer 2's conduct "**Exonerated**," regarding the allegation of a violation of this SOP, which means the investigation determined, by preponderance of the evidence that the alleged conduct did occur but did not violate APD policies, procedures, or training.

Finding: The CPOA finds Officer 3's conduct "Exonerated," regarding the allegation of a violation of this SOP, which means the investigation determined, by preponderance of the evidence that the alleged conduct did occur but did not violate APD policies, procedures, or training.

Finding: The CPOA finds Officer 4's conduct "**Exonerated**," regarding the allegation of a violation of this SOP, which means the investigation determined, by preponderance of the evidence that the alleged conduct did occur but did not violate APD policies, procedures, or training.

Finding: The CPOA finds Officer 5's conduct "**Exonerated**," regarding the allegation of a violation of this SOP, which means the investigation determined, by preponderance of the evidence that the alleged conduct did occur but did not violate APD policies, procedures, or training.

Sincerely,

/s/Edward Harness Edward Harness, Esq. Executive Director Civilian Police Oversight Agency (505) 924-3770